

**RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.116
EXPEDITED PROCEDURE
EXAMINING GROUP 2132**

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of

Chang et al. (SANDP015)

Conf. No. 7791

Serial No. 10/092,049

Group Art Unit: 2132

Filed: March 4, 2002

Examiner: Lemma

For: Implementation of Storing Secret Information in Data Storage Reader Products

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION UNDER RULE 116

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This paper is presented in response to the Office Action mailed on May 16, 2006, in which all claims in this case were finally rejected. Reconsideration of this application, based on this amendment and these following remarks, is respectfully requested.

Claims 1, 3, 5 through 8, 11 through 13, and 17 through 24 remain in this case. No claim is amended. Claims 2, 4, 9, 10, 14 through 16, and 25 through 37 were previously canceled.

Claims 1, 3, 5 through 8, 11 through 13, and 17 through 24 were all finally rejected under §103 as unpatentable over the Jones et al. reference¹ in view of the Tatebayashi et al. reference². The Examiner asserted, relative to claims 1, 8, 13, 20, and 21, that the Jones et al. reference teaches all of the elements of the claims, but does not expressly disclose inserting a flash

¹ U.S. Patent No. 6,623,637, issued April 22, 1997 to Jones et al.